



Terry Newth

MANAGING DIRECTOR

Emerging Biotech Compensation: Mitigating the Effects of a Volatile Stock on Equity Grants

We participate in hundreds of pre-commercial biotech board meetings every year. At these publicly traded companies, the compensation committee frequently raises the question of how to effectively mitigate the effect of stock price volatility on stock option grants.

Companies prefer to have the exercise price of the grants they are awarding to employees reflect a reasonable fair value of the company's stock. Unfortunately, this is not always the case, particularly in this market where stock prices can become temporarily dislocated from a normal pattern and result in overly punitive or generous option exercise prices.

Good governance requires that a company have a well-documented and actioned plan for granting equity in a consistent manner. This is particularly true with stock options that have an exercise price which needs to be set based on the fair market value. So how should companies that are frustrated with the outcomes of their current approach think about alternatives to consider? Below we summarize three potential solutions that can assist in mitigating the issue yet still promote good governance and responsible equity grant practices.

1. **Grant restricted stock or restricted stock units (RSUs) as part of the equity mix.** This is the most prevalent approach companies take. Unlike stock options, restricted stock or RSUs are not an option to purchase company stock and hence do not have an exercise price. Therefore a company can incorporate their use into a program that also includes stock options and mitigate (but not eliminate!) the issue with an all stock option program. Full-value shares can also assist in retention of executives given they have an immediate value at grant, although admittedly many executives prefer the tax efficiency and leverage of stock options.
2. **Spread annual grants over multiple grant dates.** This concept is less prevalent than the idea above, but is certainly an approach that companies consider. In this instance a company would determine an internal annual target for an individual's grant but spread the grants over two or more grant dates (e.g., January and July 2020). Similar to dollar/cost averaging, this approach attempts to diversify the individual's exercise prices across multiple dates. Companies exploring this alternative should consult with appropriate accounting professionals to ensure the approach is structured in a way that avoids any punitive accounting implications and be sure to weigh the cost of any additional administrative complexity it creates.
3. **Develop an averaging period to determine the exercise price.** This is the least common but perhaps the most intriguing alternative. Companies can create an averaging mechanism to set the exercise price. To be exempt from section 409A and its associated punitive tax impact for stock options, a company must meet the following requirements:

- The decision to measure the option exercise price must be made at the beginning of the period and is irrevocable;
- The individual recipients and their award sizes must be identified at the beginning of the averaging period; and
- The averaging period cannot be more than 30 days before or 30 days after the grant date.

Similar to the second point above, the appropriate tax professionals should be included in the design of the program to ensure compliance with 409A.

All three alternatives are viable paths to reduce the common issue biotechnology companies face when granting equity to employees. To evaluate these alternatives, a company would be well served to look back and model what the results would have been had the company decided to take each of these paths as opposed to their current approach.

About the Author

Terry Newth is a managing director at Pearl Meyer. He consults on the design, development, and assessment of executive compensation programs that support each organization's business objectives, long term business strategy, and organizational culture. His clients range from Fortune 500 organizations to pre-IPOs to private and family-owned companies in a wide range of industries. Terry's areas of expertise include pay strategy and philosophy development, market-based pay studies, incentive plan design, severance and CIC arrangements, outside director pay, transaction-related compensation, CD&A and supporting table disclosures, corporate governance, and share plan authorizations.

About Pearl Meyer

Pearl Meyer is the leading advisor to boards and senior management helping organizations build, develop, and reward great leadership teams that drive long-term success. Our strategy-driven compensation and leadership consulting services act as powerful catalysts for value creation and competitive advantage by addressing the critical links between people and outcomes. Our clients stand at the forefront of their industries and range from emerging high-growth, not-for-profit, and private organizations to the Fortune 500.