Pearl Meyer

ADVISOR BLOG | APR 2025

# Biotech Companies: Don’t Skip the Compensation Philosophy Step



Robert James

MANAGING DIRECTOR



Terry Newth

MANAGING DIRECTOR

Biotech companies operate in high-risk, high-reward environments defined by long R&D timelines, regulatory complexity, and talent scarcity. In this context, a well-articulated compensation philosophy isn’t a luxury, it’s a strategic imperative that helps align pay decisions with company values, growth stages, and investor expectations.

## A Mission-Driven Approach to Compensation

A strong compensation philosophy in biotech should address several key objectives. It must support the recruitment of top-tier scientific and executive talent in a competitive labor market and retain critical employees through long development cycles and milestone-driven progress.

Compensation programs should reinforce a long-term, ownership mindset, often by emphasizing equity over cash, especially in early stages where liquidity may be constrained. At the same time, companies must balance internal fairness with external competitiveness, and ensure that rewards reflect their funding realities, whether operating on a shoestring pre-seed budget or post-IPO capitalization.

## Key Components of the Philosophy

A biotech compensation philosophy is rooted in a few foundational components. First, it must articulate clear objectives that reflect where the company is in its lifecycle. An early-stage firm might focus on aligning pay with preclinical or IND-related progress, while a later-stage company could prioritize commercialization readiness or strategic transactions.

Market positioning is another critical factor. The philosophy should define how the company compares itself to peers, based on stage, size, geography, and ownership structure, to ensure its compensation practices are competitive yet appropriately tailored.

Equally important is an explanation of the purpose and relative weight of different compensation elements. In many biotech companies, equity is the primary vehicle for attracting and retaining talent, especially during periods when cash is limited. As the organization matures, it may shift toward more balanced compensation structures that include higher base salaries and incentive bonuses.

The philosophy must also align with company culture. In biotech, where employees themselves are often tied to the mission and passionate about patient outcomes, compensation should reinforce the organization's purpose and collaborative ethos. Lastly, the philosophy must take into account biotech-specific dynamics, such as funding volatility, episodic success, board and investor expectations, and a constantly evolving regulatory landscape.

## The Role of Ongoing Assessment

Given the dynamics of the industry, a compensation philosophy cannot be static. Ongoing assessment is essential to ensure that compensation strategies remain aligned with both business objectives and external realities. Boards and management teams should revisit the philosophy at least annually, as well as in response to major inflection points like funding rounds, clinical breakthroughs, leadership changes, or a transaction.

This reassessment process should examine whether the chosen peer group remains relevant, whether compensation remains internally equitable and externally competitive, and whether the existing structure is still effective in supporting talent acquisition and retention. This is especially crucial in high-demand disciplines like translational research, clinical development, and regulatory affairs, where companies often face aggressive poaching and limited candidate pools.

As a biotech company evolves, its compensation philosophy must evolve as well. What worked for a small team focused on a single molecule may not work for a growing organization managing multiple pipelines and preparing for commercialization. Continual reassessment ensures the philosophy remains a living, strategic framework that reflects the company's identity, supports its milestones, and guides its approach to rewarding the people who will make those milestones possible.

## About the Authors

Rob has 15 years experience in executive compensation and finance, specializing in life sciences and technology—especially emerging, high-growth companies navigating M&A and IPOs.

Terry leads the Life Sciences practice and advises companies from venture-backed to multinational on executive compensation strategy, incentive design, governance/disclosure, and transaction-related arrangements.

## About Pearl Meyer

Pearl Meyer is the leading advisor to boards and senior management helping organizations build, develop, and reward great leadership teams that drive long-term success. Our strategy-driven compensation and leadership consulting services act as powerful catalysts for value creation and competitive advantage by addressing the critical links between people and outcomes. Our clients stand at the forefront of their industries and range from emerging high-growth, not-for-profit, and private organizations to the Fortune 500.