

## Are Incentive Stock Options Worth the Trouble?



**Margaret H. Black**

MANAGING DIRECTOR

The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act in 2017 (TCJA or 2017 Tax Reform) dramatically lowered federal corporate tax rates from 35% to 21%. In addition, 2017 Tax Reform revised the individual alternative minimum tax (AMT) computation with higher AMT income exemption amounts and a higher income point where the phaseout starts. As a result, we've been finding more interest—particularly by start-up and private equity companies—in granting incentive stock options (ISOs).

Like nonqualified stock options (NQSOs), ISOs allow holders to buy stock at a specific price (the exercise price) for a given time period (max of 10 years for ISOs). With both types, when the company stock price rises above the exercise price, the stock option has value.

But ISOs also carry the promise of preferential tax treatment. While a NQSO is taxed at exercise at ordinary income tax rates (and subject to employment tax withholding), no tax or withholding is required when an ISO is exercised. If statutory holding periods are met, ISO holders may be able to defer taxation until the sale of the stock, with any appreciation (from grant to sale) taxed at favorable long-term capital gains rates. An overview of some of the major differences between ISOs and NQSOs can be found in the chart below.

Description	ISO	NQSO
Eligibility	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• May be granted to employees only</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• May be granted to employees, directors, contractors, and consultants; anyone may be granted NQSOs</li> </ul>
Tax Impact on Executive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• At grant – no tax (as long as grant price is at FMV)</li> <li>• At exercise – no tax assuming holding period requirements are met</li> <li>• At sale – appreciation from grant price to sale price is taxed as capital gain</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• At grant – no tax (as long as grant price is at FMV)</li> <li>• At exercise – appreciation from grant date is taxed at ordinary income tax rates</li> <li>• At sale – appreciation from exercise price to sale price is taxed as capital gain</li> </ul>
Withholding at Exercise?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Yes</li> </ul>
AMT Implications	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Appreciation at exercise is recognized for AMT purposes</li> <li>• An AMT credit may be available and carried forward indefinitely to reduce regular tax in future year</li> <li>• AMT treatment can be challenging to compute, administer, and predict</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• N/A</li> </ul>
Grant Price Requirements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Price generally not less than 100% of FMV; if holder holds &gt; 10% of stock, then price must be 110% of FMV with no more than 5 year term</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Price generally not less than 100% of FMV, or subject to significant penalties under Section 409A</li> </ul>
Annual Limitation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The annual value of options which can become exercisable in any one year cannot exceed \$100,000 (measured at grant date)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No limit</li> </ul>
Holding Period Requirements for Favorable Tax Treatment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Later of 2 years after grant, 1 year after exercise (except for death)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• N/A</li> </ul>
Post-Termination Exercise Limits for Favorable Tax Treatment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3 months after termination (or 1 year following death and disability)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• N/A</li> </ul>
Tax Impact on the Company	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• At grant – no deduction</li> <li>• At exercise – no tax deduction</li> <li>• At sale – no tax deduction unless executive fails to meet holding period requirements</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• At grant – no deduction</li> <li>• At exercise – company receives tax deduction equal to executive's ordinary income</li> <li>• At sale – no tax deduction</li> </ul>

Despite all the good press about ISOs, there are a few reasons that ISOs might not be the optimal choice.

#### First, ISOs are far more complex than NQSOs.

ISOs must comply with numerous statutory requirements in order to receive favorable tax treatment. If ISO requirements are not met, or are inadvertently violated, the option is taxed as if it were a NQSO. Some of the conditions include: two holding requirements must be met (stock must be held at least one year after exercise and two years after grant); the annual value of ISOs which can become exercisable in any one year cannot exceed \$100,000 per individual; and if the holder terminates employment, ISOs must be exercised no more than three months after termination. These and a host of other complexities make ISOs difficult

for companies to administer and confusing for employees and employers.

**Next, because of AMT, the true tax implications of ISOs are difficult to project.**

ISOs are considered NQSOs for AMT purposes and, once exercised, the spread between the fair market value (FMV) of the stock and the exercise price is added to income when calculating AMT. As a result, many ISO holders are surprised (and confused) by AMT tax in the year of exercise. (We can't overemphasize how much AMT complicates and confuses matters for all but the most skilled tax geeks.)

While an employee may be entitled to an AMT credit that is carried forward to reduce regular tax in future years, there are no guarantees that the credit will be utilized as the ability to use the credit is highly dependent upon a taxpayer's individual facts and circumstances in the future. And while Tax Reform may have reduced the number of individuals encountering AMT problems, projecting future tax results remains onerous...and unpredictable.

**Significantly, more often than not, the potential tax benefits of ISOs are not realized.**

Many ISO holders sell their stock early and don't end up ever meeting the holding requirements for one reason or another (these sales are known as "disqualifying dispositions") or an employer enhances or modifies the terms of an award so that the ISO is treated as a NQSO (known as "material modifications"). For example, this happens when post-termination holding periods are extended beyond required statutory limits.

It's also common for stock plans to call for accelerated vesting in the event of a change-in-control (CIC) or for certain qualifying terminations such as death or disability. Vesting needs to be managed when administering the \$100,000 limit, so if vesting of an award was already being maxed out to reach the limit, the additional accelerated shares will become NQSOs.

**And finally, the obvious: companies lose tax deductions on qualifying dispositions of ISOs.**

While the spread on exercise of NQSOs is tax deductible, if ISO holding requirements are actually met, the company receives no tax deduction. And although Tax Reform has lowered corporate tax rates significantly, companies still need to consider lost tax benefits in their analysis, if material. However, for certain executives whose taxable income exceeds \$1M, NQSOs may not be deductible under IRC Section 162(m); in these cases, the lost tax deductions for ISOs would not be a concern.

**Conclusions**

While ISOs are structured to provide employees with preferential tax benefits, these benefits are not often realized for a variety of reasons. When the associated complexities and lost tax benefits are also considered, ISOs may prove to be more trouble than they're worth.

## About the Author

Margaret Black is a managing director at Pearl Meyer and a member of the firm's Technical Services team. She has consulted for over 25 years in the field of tax and over 20 years on all aspects of

compensation and benefits matters. Margaret has extensive experience in issues related to corporate acquisitions, divestitures and restructurings, as well as with change-in-control provisions, IRC Sections 280G, 409A, and 162(m) compliance.

## About Pearl Meyer

Pearl Meyer is the leading advisor to boards and senior management helping organizations build, develop, and reward great leadership teams that drive long-term success. Our strategy-driven compensation and leadership consulting services act as powerful catalysts for value creation and competitive advantage by addressing the critical links between people and outcomes. Our clients stand at the forefront of their industries and range from emerging high-growth, not-for-profit, and private organizations to the Fortune 500.